PHONIATRY

The Pooling-score (P-score): inter- and intra-rater reliability in endoscopic assessment of the severity of dysphagia

Il Pooling-score (P-score): variabilità inter- e intra-individuale nella valutazione endoscopica della gravità della disfagia

D. FARNETI¹, B. FATTORI², A. NACCI², V. MANCINI², M. SIMONELLI³, G. RUOPPOLO⁴, E. GENOVESE⁵

¹ Audiology and Phoniatry Service, Infermi Hospital, Rimini; ² ENT Audiology Phoniatry Unit, University of Pisa, Italy; ³ The Speech and Swallowing Rehabilitation Service, IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy; ⁴ Department of Sensorial Organs, Sapienza University of Rome; ⁵ Audiology Service, University of Modena, Reggio Emilia, Italy

SUMMARY

This study evaluated the intra- and inter-rater reliability of the Pooling score (P-score) in clinical endoscopic evaluation of severity of swallowing disorder, considering excess residue in the pharynx and larynx. The score (minimum 4 - maximum 11) is obtained by the sum of the scores given to the site of the bolus, the amount and ability to control residue/bolus pooling, the latter assessed on the basis of cough, raclage, number of dry voluntary or reflex swallowing acts (< 2, 2-5, > 5). Four judges evaluated 30 short films of pharyngeal transit of 10 solid (1/4 of a cracker), 11 creamy (1 tablespoon of jam) and 9 liquid (1 tablespoon of 5 cc of water coloured with methlyene blue, 1 ml in 100 ml) boluses in 23 subjects (10 M/13 F, age from 31 to 76 yrs, mean age 58.56±11.76 years) with different pathologies. The films were randomly distributed on two CDs, which differed in terms of the sequence of the films, and were given to judges (after an explanatory session) at time 0, 24 hours later (time 1) and after 7 days (time 2). The inter- and intra-rater reliability of the P-score was calculated using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC; 3,k). The possibility that consistency of boluses could affect the scoring of the films was considered. The ICC for site, amount, management and the P-score total was found to be, respectively, 0.999, 0.997, 1.00 and 0.999. Clinical evaluation of a criterion of severity of a swallowing disorder remains a crucial point in the management of patients with pathologies that predispose to complications. The P-score, derived from static and dynamic parameters, yielded a very high correlation among the scores attributed by the four judges during observations carried out at different times. Bolus consistencies did not affect the outcome of the test: the analysis of variance, performed to verify if the scores attributed by the four judges to the parameters selected, might be influenced by the different consistencies of the boluses, was not significant. These initial data validate the clinical use of the P-score in the management of patients with deglutition disorders by a multidisciplinary team.

KEY WORDS: Deglutition disorders • Dysphagia • Diagnosis • FEES • Aspiration • Pooling • Residue • Severity

RIASSUNTO

Questo studio valuta la variabilità inter ed intra-individuale del Pooling-score (P-score) nella valutazione clinica endoscopica della gravità della disfagia, considerando i ristagni in ipofaringe e laringe. Lo score (minimo 4 massimo 11) è ottenuto dalla somma del punteggio attribuito alla sede del bolo, alla quantità ed alla capacità di controllo del bolo residuo/ristagnato, quest'ultima valutata sulla base delle reazioni volontarie o riflesse di tosse, raclage, numero di atti deglutitori a vuoto (<2, 2-5, >5). Quattro giudici hanno valutato 30 brevi filmati di transiti faringei di 10 boli solidi (1/4 di cracker), 11 cremosi (1 cucchiaio di marmellata) e 9 liquidi (1 cucchiaio da 5 cc di acqua colorata con blu di metilene, 1 ml in 100 ml di acqua) di 23 soggetti (10M/13F fra 31-76 anni, età media 58.56±11.76) affetti da patologie diverse. I filmati, distribuiti su due CD in sequenza diversa e casuale, venivano sottoposti ai giudici (previa una sessione esplicativa) nel tempo 0, a distanza di 24 ore (tempo 1) e dopo 7 giorni (tempo 2). L'affidabilità inter-individuale ed intra-individuale del P-score è stata calcolata, utilizzando l'intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC; 3,k). La possibilità che la consistenza dei boli potesse influenzare il punteggio attribuito ai filmati è stata considerata. L'ICC per i parametri sede, quantità, gestione e il P-score totale è risultato essere rispettivamente: 0.999, 0.997, 1.00 e 0.999. La valutazione clinica di un criterio di gravità di un disordine della deglutizione resta un punto cruciale nella gestione di pazienti con patologie che predispongono a complicanze. Il P-score, che deriva da parametri statici e dinamici, ha raggiunto una correlazione molto alta fra i punteggi attribuiti dai quattro giudici durante osservazioni eseguite in tempi diversi. Le consistenze del bolo non hanno influenzato l'esito del test: l'analisi della varianza, effettuata per verificare se il punteggio attribuito dai quattro giudici ai parametri selezionati potesse essere influenzato dalle diverse consistenze, è stata non significativa. Questi primi dati rendono possibile un uso clinico del P-score nella gestione di pazienti con disturbi di deglutizione in un team multidisciplinare.

 $\textit{PAROLE CHIAVE: Disturbi della deglutizione} \bullet \textit{Disfagia} \bullet \textit{Diagnosi} \bullet \textit{FEES} \bullet \textit{Aspirazione} \bullet \textit{Ristagno} \bullet \textit{Residuo} \bullet \textit{Gravità}$

Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2014;34:105-110

Introduction

In patients with dysphagia due to different pathologies, predisposing to complications, the clinical severity of a swallowing disorder needs to be established ¹. Respiratory complications, related to false paths, taken by bolus or pooling of secretions, can arise in the short or long term ². Clinical non-instrumental assessment (clinical swallowing evaluation – CSE) ^{3 4} alone can fail to identify silent aspirations or micro-aspirations. In at-risk conditions for the patient, and to define physiopathology of the swallowing disorder, instrumental assessment is essential in order to plan treatment. Currently, there is no instrumental technique that clearly defines the risk of false pathways: video-fluoroscopic study of swallowing (VFSS) 5 and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) ⁶ both yield false positives and false negatives 7. Choosing the instrumental method that best responds to clinical questions 8 and the expertise of the clinician carrying out the procedure 9 10 become crucial factors in clinical management of patients with deglutition disorders.

Endoscopic assessment provides an exhaustive definition of anatomical details of pharyngeal and laryngeal surfaces, including secretions or bolus residues that, in usual or unusual conditions, moisten the walls (coating) or occupy containment cavities (pooling, residue). Such a evaluation is considered a clinical criterion for severity that can be linked to the risk of respiratory complications ¹¹. Although to date a standardised grading of pharyngeal residue is not available, this criterion is taken into account when planning treatment and management activities by a multidisciplinary team ¹².

In previous publications ¹³⁻¹⁵, pooling of materials is considered in the broadest sense as any material that is present in the containment cavities of the hypopharynx and larynx, before and/or after the act of swallowing. The severity criterion proposed (Pooling score, P-score) (Table I) ¹⁴ takes into account different parameters: 1) site: identified by anatomical landmarks; 2) amount: determined in a semi-

quantitative fashion by the amount of pooling materials (coating, more or less than 50% of cavity containment capacity); 3) management: the ability of the patient to clear the residue. The score refers to a specific type of consistency and volume of the bolus, changing according to these parameters. The score considers the most severe condition for each parameter, reached after a sequence of boluses of the same consistency (in our department a sequence of at least 3 boluses for each consistency).

In clinical practice, the P-score may be integrated with other parameters of clinical assessment (CSE) that are more easily determined: sensation of the pharynx, patient collaboration and age (P-SCA score). Both the scores express, as a numerical value, a continuum of severity that may be used in different ways, with correlations that still have to be verified. Therefore, a minimum score (P-score 4-5) may indicate the absence of endoscopic signs of dysphagia. A low score (P-score 6-7) may identify mild dysphagia, a medium score (P-score 8-9) moderate dysphagia and a high score (P-score 10-11) severe dysphagia.

This investigation considers the intra- and inter-rater reliability of the P-score among 4 judges with long-standing experience in the use of endoscopy. CSE parameters and the P-SCA score were not considered.

Materials and methods

Transits of 23 consecutive outpatients aged between 31 and 76 years (average age 58.56 ± 11.76), and referred to our department complaining of difficulties in swallowing, were enrolled. Sample characteristics and diseases are reported in Table II. Four judges with expertise in the FEES procedure were elected. Three judges have at least 10 years of experience, and one has 4 years of experience: they all routinely carry out at least 5 endoscopic assessments of swallowing per week.

For some of the 23 subjects, the pharyngeal transit films of more than one bolus of a different consistency was recorded to obtain a total number of 30 films: 10 solid bo-

Table I. P-score and P-SCA score.

Pooling	Endoscopic landmarks		Bedside parameters				
			Sensation	Collaboration	Age (years)		
Site	Vallecula Marginal zone Pyriform sinus Vestibule/vocal cords Lower vocal cords	1 1 2 3 4	Presence = -1 Absence = +1	Presence = -1 Absence = +1	+1 (< 65) +2 (65-75) +3 (> 75)		
Amount	Coating Minimum Maximum	1 2 3					
Management	< 2 2-5 > 5	2 3 4					
Score	P 4-11			P-SCA 3-16			

Table II. Patient characteristics and diseases.

Subject	Gender	Age	Pathology
1 PS	F	47	Globus
2 AA	F	68	Cortical ictus sequelae
3 GA	M	65	GERD
4 BA	F	62	COPD
5 CL	F	56	Dermatomyositis
6 RR	M	67	Cortical ictus sequelae
7 MM1	F	64	Laryngeal paralysis
8 XL	F	38	GERD
9 TS	F	64	Neurological degenerative
10 MM2	M	63	Cortical ictus sequelae
11 QG	M	42	Corea major
12 DA	F	71	Myasthenia
13 ME	M	52	H-N operated
14 DM	F	48	Cortical ictus sequelae
15 ME	F	46	Laryngeal paralysis
16 BG	M	62	Sjögren's syndrome
17 CC	F	76	Wallemberg sequaele
18 BF	F	73	Laryngeal paralysis
19 CR	M	51	Laryngeal paralysis
20 MP	M	71	COPD
21 RF	F	67	H-N operated
22 CL	M	31	Neurologial degenerative
23 SR	M	63	Cortical ictus sequelae

luses (1/4 of a cracker), 11 creamy boluses (1 spoonful of jam) and 9 liquid boluses (1 tablespoon with 5 cc of water dyed with methylene blue, 1 cc in 100 ml, according to the procedure used in our department). The films are short sequences of acts of swallowing that are prolonged until the bolus has been completely swallowed, or at least 5 swallows. Film length varies from a few dozen seconds to no more than 180 seconds, so the complete session lasted no more than an hour, avoiding fatigue and a decrease in concentration among judges ¹⁶.

All the films were collected on two CD copies, respectively *CD0* and *CD1*, that differed with respect to the sequence of the films. Before the session, the rationale and application of the score were explained to the judges. Each judge was given a *CD-test* containing 4 pharyngeal transits of boluses with different consistencies, in subjects who differed by the severity of dysphagia. The application of the P-score was explained personally by the first judge at an explanatory session lasting 30 min. Judges were asked to watch *CD0* immediately thereafter (time 0), *CD1* at 24 hours later (time 1) and *CD0* after 7 days (time 2) in a different order than at time 0.

Data were collected on a predefined sheet, reporting separate findings for each parameter: pooling *site*, *amount*,

management and total P-score. The different bolus consistencies used in our study between the pharyngeal transit films tested is a bias ¹⁷⁻¹⁹. For this reason, we calculated the variance of scores attributed by judges to the parameters selected. Finally, the score can also be applied at the beginning of the observation ¹⁴. In the films considered, material pooling at the beginning of the observation was present in only a few cases, so it was decided not to apply the score at that time, but only after the transit of the bolus through the pharynx.

The analysis of data obtained was carried out using SAS statistical software and the inter- and intra-rater reliability was calculated with the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC; 3,k) ²⁰.

Results

The scores attributed by judges to the *site*, *amount* and *management* of the pooled material are shown in Tables IIIa, IIIb and IIIc. The *site*, apparently simpler, was modified by both the first and fourth judges over time, reaching agreement at the last observation [ICC (3, k) 0.999]. The parameter *amount* was changed many times during observations, with differences maintained among judges [ICC (3, k) 0.997]. The parameter *management* was replicated by all judges in all three observations [ICC (3, k) 1.000]. The *total P-score* attributed by the four judges confirmed that the criterion of severity established by the first judge was essentially shared by the other three judges [ICC (3, k) 0.999] (Table IV).

The analysis of variance, performed to verify if the scores attributed by the four judges to the parameters selected, might have been influenced by the different consistency of the boluses, was not significant (interaction consistency*judge = 1.000 at times 0, 1, 2, respectively, for the three parameters of the score and P-score total). Thus, the different types of consistencies did not influence the scores attributed by judges to the 30 films.

Discussion

The evaluation of secretions or bolus pooling in the pharynx end/or larynx represents an important step in the endoscopic examination of swallowing, as it is closely correlated with respiratory complications ¹¹. As previously mentioned, to date, standardised grading for pharyngeal material pooling is not available: the P-score could be used in this clinical context.

From a physiopathological point of view, a swallowing disorder is the result of an imbalance between events that occur in the domain of space and time ²¹ ²², domains in which vector forces guarantee the efficiency of defensive strategies, which protect the airways, or clear the containment cavities of the bolus passed through them ²³. These events and forces can interact in different ways.

Table IIIa. Site (anatomical landmarks): descriptive analysis.

		JUDGE				
T:		1	2	3	4	
Time		N	N	N	N	
0	1	16	15	15	15	
	2	4	5	5	5	
	3	5	5	5	5	
	4	5	5	5	5	
1	1	15	15	15	15	
	2	5	5	5	5	
	3	5	5	5	6	
	4	5	5	5	4	
2	1	15	15	15	15	
	2	5	5	5	5	
	3	5	5	5	5	
	4	5	5	5	5	

P-score: site ICC(3,k) 0.999

Table IIIb. Amount: descriptive analysis.

			JUI	OGE	
Time		1	2	3	4
Time		N	N	N	N
0	1	18	19	19	18
	2	8	7	7	8
	3	4	4	4	4
1	1	18	19	19	18
	2	7	7	7	8
	3	5	4	4	4
2	1	19	19	19	19
	2	6	7	7	7
	3	5	4	4	4

P-score: amount ICC(3.k) 0.997

Table IIIc. Management: descriptive analysis.

Table Inc. Management, descriptive analysis.						
		JUDGE				
T:		1	2	3	4	
Time		N	N	N	N	
0	2	8	8	8	8	
	3	5	5	5	5	
	4	17	17	17	17	
1	2	8	8	8	8	
	3	5	5	5	5	
	4	17	17	17	17	
2	2	8	8	8	8	
	3	5	5	5	5	
	4	17	17	17	17	

P-score: management ICC(3,k) 1.000

Time: time of observation N: number of observation

In the domain of space, where forces are acting, the Pscore identifies the pathway and flow of the bolus: the pathway is identified by the direction along the digestive or respiratory tracts, as well as false route (penetration or aspiration); the flow is indicated by the amount of bolus that does not cross the pharynx while swallowing. This amount (expressed in a semi-quantitative manner by the score) represents the difference between the total amount of the bolus taken and the amount of bolus swallowed. In the domain of time, the score identifies events that occur before or after swallowing, considering that material pooling after a previous swallow becomes a bolus for the next swallow, with a volume that is either increased or decreased. Thus, the subsequent swallowing act can clear the residue or push it below into the airway 24. The P-score considers the sequence of swallowing acts in the "management" parameter, evaluating the fate and final amount of a bolus that persists in the pharynx/larynx after 5 empty swallows, and also gives information about the reaction of the patient to material pooling or to airway invasion. The occurrence, or absence, of dry swallowing, cough or throat clearing, in response to the residue or penetration/ aspiration before, during or after swallowing, express the interaction between vectors and volumes. In this way, the number of dry swallows or clearing activities, related to the final amount of material pooling, can be assumed to be a parameter of efficiency of the entire sequence, closely linked to fatigue of muscular swallowing effectors.

These preliminary considerations can help us in the interpretation of the score attributed by the judges. The anatomical parameter (Table IIIa: site) was well identified by the 4 judges: disagreement may have been influenced by the amount and site of the residue, considering that the most severe condition has to be selected for scoring (the residue in a lower anatomical site may have been chosen with respect to a larger volume, both parameters indicating greater severity, or vice versa). In this case, site and amount are closely linked: in fact, "amount" (Table IIIb) created greater difficulties for the judges. It is worth remembering that the measurement of this parameter is semi-quantitative, and the four judges attributed a diversity of scores, which in some cases were modified during the three observations, but in other cases remained unchanged. A greater variability was seen when making a distinction between scores 2 and 3, while "coating" created fewer difficulties in score attribution.

The third parameter (management) considers the effectiveness of the manoeuvre carried out to clear the residue, regardless of whether it was spontaneous or performed upon request, and in many cases it solves the dilemma between site and volume mentioned above. This parameter (Table IIIc) was easily evaluated by all judges and in all observations, with no discrepancies. If we consider the total score (from 4 to 11), which marks the continuum of clinical severity (Table IV), it can be observed that ex-

Table IV. Severity criteria: descriptive analysis.

		JUDGE					
Time		1	2	3	4		
		N	N	N	N		
0	4	8	8	8	8		
	5	3	3	3	3		
	7	7	7	7	6		
	8	4	4	4	5		
	9	5	5	5	5		
	10	2	2	2	2		
	11	1	1	1	1		
1	4	8	8	8	8		
	5	3	3	3	3		
	7	6	7	7	7		
	8	4	4	4	4		
	9	6	5	5	5		
	10	2	2	2	2		
	11	1	1	1	1		
2	4	8	8	8	8		
	5	3	3	3	3		
	7	6	7	7	7		
	8	5	4	4	4		
	9	5	5	5	5		
	10	2	2	2	2		
	11	1	1	1	1		

P-score: total ICC(3,k) 0.999

Time: time of observation N: number of observations

treme scores were replicated by the four judges in all observations, with good agreement. This was easy to identify, and maintain judgement, for subjects without pooling or patients with bulky residues. Differences emerged in the medium classes of severity where the attribution of a different partial score may affect the attribution of a different class of clinical severity. In our work, these differences, sometimes replicated at the third observation, never reached statistical significance and can be assumed to be casual.

Conclusions

One of the most difficult tasks for clinicians and management team is to determine the severity of the swallowing disorder. This criterion very often refers to the risk for the lower airways to be invaded by the bolus or by material pooling into pharyngeal or laryngeal cavities. The instrumental criterion of severity (endoscopic or radiological) needs to be contextualised according to a more general clinical criterion of severity, which should make

reference to the patient, considering that the non-instrumental assessment (CSE) tends to underestimate the risk of aspiration, whereas instrumental assessment tends to overestimate it ²⁵. "Severity", in this case, becomes a relative criterion, which is quantifiable by the parameters that define it. In clinical practice, aspiration is the most significant event that marks a swallowing disorder, although it is not the only one.

Endoscopic and radiological evaluations are complementary techniques ⁷, even if the former offers an optimal view of pharyngeal and laryngeal cavities, and particularly of material pooling ²⁶. Parameters related to instrumental severity, devised for radiological evaluation, might not be the best for application in the clinical endoscopic field, though they maintain their effectiveness in terms of interand intra-rater reliability ^{27 28}. Nonetheless, scores that can be easily applied in clinical practice are needed. There are several endoscopic scores reported in the literature, with severity criteria divided into 3, 4 or 5 levels. This division does not seem to interfere with the inter- and intra-rater reliability of a score 12 29. The P-score, which considers anatomical and functional parameters, evaluates the interaction between volumetric, vectorial and temporal events, disengaging the criterion of severity from the quantitative parameter alone.

The high inter- and intra-rater reliability of the P-score was verified for anatomical, semi-quantitative and temporal parameters. Variability among judges, which was not statistically significant, was expressed with respect to the "site" and "amount", whereas "management" seems more easily determinable.

Despite the high reliability of the P-score shown herein, one critical point could be represented by the unequal subdivision of clinical severity in the sample, taken from consecutive outpatients seen in our department. The patients with the most severe clinical conditions were not able to manage all consistencies or volumes tested by those less severely affected. In the former patients, for example, it was possible to administer only a few small amounts of the bolus with a creamy consistency. Nevertheless, the statistical analysis verifies the reliability of the judges in attributing a score for each parameter of the P-score, regardless of severity, which derives from the sum of the three parameters that determine it. This should not affect the clinical use of the score, which, in contrast, seems to be able to identify patients with small differences in severity.

Further research is being undertaken to check the effectiveness of the P-score in clinical management of patients with swallowing disorders that have a different aetiology, as well as its usefulness in indicating variations after specific treatment.

References

- ¹ Langmore SE, Terpenning MS, Schork A, et al. *Predictors of aspiration pneumonia: how important is dysphagia?* Dysphagia 1998;13:69-81.
- ² Eisenhuber E, Schima W, Schober E, et al. Videofluoroscopic assessment of patients with dysphagia: pharyngeal retention is a predictive factor for aspiration. AJR 2002;178:393-8.
- McCullough GH J.C. Rosenbek JC, Wertz RT, et al. Utility of clinical swallowing examination measures for detecting aspiration post-stroke. J Speech Lang Hear Res 2005;48:1280-93.
- Carnaby-Mann G, Lenius K. The bedside examination in dysphagia. Phys Med Rehabil N Am 2008;19:747-68.
- ⁵ Logemann JA. Manual for the videofluorographic study of swallowing. Ed. Pro.ed Austin: Texas 1986.
- Langmore SE, Schatz K, Olsen N. Fiberoptic endoscopic examination of swallowing safety: a new procedure. Dysphagia 1988;2:216-9.
- AHCPR Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Diagnosis and treatment of swallowing disorders (dysphagia). Evidence Report Technology Assessment n. 8, 1999. Rao N, Brady S, Chaudhuri G, et al. Gold-Standard? Analysis of the Videofluoroscopic and Fiberoptic Endoscopic Swallow Examinations. J Applied Res 2003;3:89-96.
- ⁸ Langmore SE, Schatz K, Olson N. Endoscopic and fluoroscopic evaluation of swallowing and aspiration. Ann Otol Rhynol Laryngol 1991;100:678-81.
- ⁹ UEP/UEMS Training Logbook of Phoniatrics. *Training Programme and Logbook* http://www.phoniatrics-uep.org/downloads/logbook-phoniatrics_uems_update2010.pdf 27 March 2013.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2005). The role of the speech-language pathologist in the performance and interpretation of endoscopic evaluation of swallowing: technical report [Technical Report]. Available from www.asha.org/policy.
- Murray J, Langmore SE, Ginsberg S, et al. The significance of accumulated oropharyngeal secretions and swallowing frequency in predicting aspiration. Dysphagia 1996;11:99-103.
- Brady S. Use of dysphagia severity scales during fiberoptic endoscopic exam of swallowing: treatment decisions and planning. ASHA Special Interest Division 13 Perspectives in Swallowing and Swallowing Disorders 2007;16:10-3.
- Farneti D, Consolmagno P. Aspiration: the predictive value of some clinical and endoscopic signs. Evaluation of our case series. Acta Otorynolaryngol Ital 2005;25:36-42.
- Farneti D. Pooling score: an endoscopic model for evaluating severity of dysphagia. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2008;28:135-40.

- Farneti D, Favero E. Valutazione videoendoscopica infantile, adulta e senile. In Deglutologia. II edizione. Torino: Omega Ed.; 2010. p. 167-79.
- Kelly AM, Drinnan MJ, Leslie P. Assessing penetration and aspiration: how do videofluoroscopy and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing compare? Laryngoscope 2007;117:1723-27.
- Dantas RO, Kern MK, Massey BT, et al. Effect of swallowed bolus variables on oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing. Am J Physiol 1990;258:G675-81.
- ¹⁸ Rajendra PB. *Food texture and rheology: a tutorial review.* Journal of Food Engineering 1992;16:1-16.
- ¹⁹ Igarashi A, Kawasaki M, Nomura S, et al. Sensory and motor responses of normal young adults during swallowing of foods with different properties and volumes. Dysphagia 2010;25:198-206.
- Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychological Bulletin 1979;86:420-8.
- ²¹ Mendelsohn, M. New concepts in dysphagia management. J Otolaryngol 1993;Supp1:5-22.
- ²² Daniels SK, DeBakey ME, Schroeder MF, et al. *Defining and measuring dysphagia following stroke*. Am J Speech Lang Pathol 2009;18:74-81.
- Pearson WG Jr, Langmore SE, Yu LB, et al. Structural analysis of muscles elevating the hyolaryngeal complex. Dysphagia 2012;27:445-51.
- Molfenter SM, Steele CM. The relationship between residue and aspiration on the subsequent swallow: an application of the normalized residue ratio scale. Dysphagia 2013;28:494-500.
- Leder SB, Espinosa JF. Aspiration risk after stroke: comparison of clinical examination and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing. Dysphagia 2002;17:214-8.
- ²⁶ Kelly AM, Leslie P, Beale T, et al. Fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing and videofluoroscopy: does examination type influence perception of pharyngeal residue severity? Clin Otolaryngol 2006;31:425-32.
- ²⁷ Colodny N. Interjudge and intrajudge reliabilities in fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (Fees®) using the Penetration–Aspiration Scale: a replication study. Dysphagia 2002;17:308-15.
- ²⁸ Butler SG, Stuart A, Kemp S. Flexible endoscopic evaluation of swallowing in healthy young and older adults. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2009;118:99-106.
- Del Bon F, Piazza C, Mangili S, et al. *Intraoral laser surgery for recurrent glottic cancer after radiotherapy: oncologic and functional oucomes*. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2012;32:229-37.

Received: February 11, 2013 - Accepted: July 15, 2013

Address for correspondence: Daniele Farneti, Ospedale Infermi, via Settembrini 2, 47900 Rimini, Italy. Tel./Fax +39 0541 705146. E-mail: lele_doc@libero.it.